Local Assistance Plan (LAP) Diagnostic Self-Review Document and Report Template



Name/Number of School:	York CSD Elementary School	
School Address:	2578 Genesee Street P.O. Box 102 Retsof, New	York 14539
School Telephone Number:	(585) 243-1730 Fax: (585) 243-5269	
Principal's Direct Phone Number:	(585) 243-3400	
Principal's E-Mail:	mknoble@yorkcsd.org	
District Telephone Number:	(585) 243-1730 Fax: (585) 243-5269	
Superintendent's Direct Phone Number:	(585) 243-1730 x2222	
Superintendent's E-Mail:	dpmurray@yorkcsd.org	
Reason for LAP Designation:	Elementary/White/ELA Performance	
Website Link for Published Report:	www.yorkcsd.org	
School Principal's SignatureMary K. No	ble	Date11/09/15

I certify that the information provided above and in the attached documents is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the accountability status determination reported in the Information Reporting Services (IRS) portal/nySTART is official and that the district and its school must meet all federal and State requirements pertaining to such accountability designations and expected student performance improvements.

I further certify that I have reviewed the Diagnostic Self-Review Document and met with the school leadership to discuss and revise the rubric ratings as appropriate and that I concur that the ratings provided in the rubric are an accurate assessment of the school's current performance in relation to the tenets.

Dr. Daniel P. Murray
Superintendent's Signature ______ Date _____

For New York City schools, the Community School District Superintendent must sign the self-assessment.

Mary Kate Noble

A Message to School/District Leaders:

Name of Principal:

The purpose of the New York State Education Department (NYSED) school review is to provide all New York State (NYS) stakeholders currently involved in school and/or district evaluation cogent messages around school improvement and highly effective educational practices. Our thinking is that the more the NYS educational community engages in common practices and uses common language to evaluate and describe effective schools, the more readily we, as an educational community, will be able to provide high-quality seats to all students in our state.

Guidance

The Diagnostic Self-Review Document provides an opportunity for the school, with the assistance of the district, to assess it's current level of performance in regard to the school leadership, teacher practices and decisions, curriculum development and support, student social and emotional developmental health, and family and community engagement. Schools should use the self-review as an opportunity to identify actions to be taken to improve student academic results for the identified subgroup(s), describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions identified, and describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the actions to improve student academic results.

The Diagnostic Self-Review Document and Report Template must be approved by the district's Board of Education (for New York City (NYC) schools, it must be approved by the Chancellor) and posted to the district's website by Friday, November 21, 2014, as well as kept on file at both the school and the district offices.

Completing This Form

- ✓ Before completing this form, please examine the rubric, and discuss the tenets and the statements of practice with the district representative who will be assisting you in completing, reviewing and approving your LAP Self-Assessment. As the rubric used for the Diagnostic Self-Review is the same one as used for Diagnostic Review for School and District Effectiveness (DTSDE) conducted in Focus Districts, the DTSDE website (http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/diagnostic-tool-institute/home.html) contains helpful information about the rubric.
- ✓ In collaboration with your school leadership team and your district representatives, complete the Self-Review by identifying the strategies and practices you either are planning to implement or have implemented that meet the needs of your school, as identified by the assessment.
 - Pay particular attention to the performance of the subgroups that caused the school to be identified as requiring a Local Assistance Plan (LAP).
 - Use evaluative language and connect how the strategies and practices have or will impact teaching and learning.
 - Make sure the activities proposed reflect a new and robust direction or a continuation of practices that are showing evidence-based positive results in closing the achievement gap(s).
- ✓ Be concise and clear when describing the evidence that supports your ratings.
- ✓ Provide information in the plan that addresses the "who, what, when, and why" of the strategies chosen to meet the needs of the school.
- ✓ **Please Note:** The designation of a school as a LAP means that a school has areas that need improvement, particularly as they relate to the subgroup(s) of students who are failing to make academic gains. These areas should be reflected in the ratings, evidence and action plans outlined in this assessment.
- ✓ Before the completed Self-Review Document and Report Template are submitted to the Board of Education (for NYC, the Chancellor) for approval, the school superintendent must meet with the school leadership to discuss and revise the rubric ratings as appropriate.

A successfully completed Self-Review provides an accurate picture of your school and its needs and describes the actions you and the district will take to address these needs. The evidence and plans for improvement described in the document will closely align to the expectations put forth in the rubric, therefore aligning the plan to the optimal conditions for school effectiveness.

If you have any questions regarding completion of the Local Assistance Plan Self Assessment, please send an email to accountinfo@mail.nysed.gov.

School Informati	ion Sh	eet														
Grade			Total				Title	2 1			Atte	enda	nce			
Configuration		K-5	Enrolln	nent		332	Pop	ulation		%	Rate	e				96%
			·			<u> </u>										
Free Lunch	7%	Reduced	00/	Studer	nt	%	Limi	ted English		20/	Stu	dent	s with	1		1.40/
	. / 70	Lunch	inch 8% S		Sustainability		Prof	icient		2%	Disa	abilit	ties			14%
			T	ypes an	d Numbe	er of En	7	anguage Lea								
#Transitional Bili	ngual	0	#Dual I	.anguag	e	0	#Sel	f-Contained	Englis	sh as a S	econd I	ang	uage		0	
						mber o		ial Education							1	
#Special Classes		2	#Consu	Iltant Te	aching		#Int	egrated Coll	abora ⁻	tive Tea	ching					
# Resource Room	า															
						nd Nun		Special Class					_			T
#Visual Arts		#Music		#Dram				reign Langua	age		# Dan	ce		(CTE	#
			_	l I.		Racial/							1			T
American		_	ck or	0 1	lispanic	10/		n or Native		20/	VA /In th		96	Mι	ılti-	10/
Indian or Alaska Native	0%		rican erican	%	or Latino	1%		aiian/Other fic Islander		2%	Whit	е	%	rac	cial	1 %
Alaska Native		Ame	ericari		Latino	Do.	rsonn							-	-	
Years Principal				# of As	sistant	0	_	Deans		0	# of Co	aune	alors	/		
Assigned to Scho	nol .			Princip		"	# 01	Dearis		U				,		
% of Teachers wi			% Tea		0% % Teaching with		eaching with	Fewe	r	Social Workers 0% Average Teach		her				
		Out of		Than 3 Yrs.		_				Absences						
0		Certifi		Than 3 i			.лр.			Absences						
				ı	Ovei	all Acco	ountal	bility Status								
ELA		Ma	thematic	:S		Coion	oo Dor	formance					4 Year			
Performance at	209	% Perf	ormance	at	37%			3 & 4	93	3%	G	radu	uation	Rate		
levels 3 & 4		lev	els 3 & 4	1		at	ieveis	5 5 Q 4				(H	S Only	/)		
at	,	•	nd		redit Acc	umulat	ion (F	ligh School (Only)		n					Г
% of 1 st yr.			of 2 nd yr.			% of	3 rd vr.	students								
students who			dents wh	_			,	rned 10+			_		6 Year			
earned 10+			rned 10+	•			cred	lits			G	radu	ation	Rate		
credits			credits													
								under the Ca	_	• •						
		Achieve	ement Ga	p (AG), C	ut Point (0	CP), and	or Dic	d Not Meet Ad	dequat	e Yearly	Progres	s (AY	(P)			
ELA	Mat	hematics	Scie	ence	Gradi	uation F	Rate	Subgroup								
								American I	Indian	or Alas	ka Nativ	/e				
								Hispanic or								
AYP								White								
								Students w	vith Di	sabilitie	es					
1111								Economica	ally Dis	sadvant	aged					
								Lectionica								
								Black or Af	frican	America	an					
												r Pac	cific Is	lande	r	
								Black or Af	ative I			r Pad	cific Is	lande	ır	

Rate each practice with an H, E, D, or I in the space provided. Before assigning a rating of Effective or Highly Effective to a Statement of Practice, the school should pay particular attention to how the statement of practice is related to the performance of the subgroup(s) of students who caused the school to be identified as requiring a LAP. When providing a response to a Statement of Practice that Is Effective, Developing, or Ineffective, the school should specify whether actions will be targeted to the subgroup(s) of students who caused the school's identification or be part of a whole school transformation or turnaround strategy.

Rating Statement of Practice 2.2: The school leader ensures that the school community shares the Specific, Measurable, Ambitious, Results-oriented, and Timely (SMART) goals/mission and long-term vision inclusive of core values that address the priorities outlined in the School Comprehensive Educational Plan (SCEP). A
oriented, and Timely (SMART) goals/mission and long-term vision inclusive of core values that address the priorities outlined in the School Comprehensive Educational Plan (SCEP). ### Interviews with Support Stakeholders and supported by staff, families, and students and are uniformly seen, heard, and known across the entire school community and affiliated partnerships. ### b) The school leader champions the implementation of a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being and holds the school community of stakeholders accountable for working to realize the long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. #### c) The school leader and community stakeholders regularly monitor and evaluate progress toward attainment of SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP that are aligned to the long-term vision, making adjustments when goals are not achieved, improvements are needed, and priorities become misaligned. #### a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created and supported by a representative group of staff, families, and students and some affiliated partnerships. ### b) The school leader shares a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being with the school community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. ### c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. #### b) The School leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of beveloping how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. #### c) The School leader has a data-driven mission that is co
School Comprehensive Educational Plan (SCEP).
Students and are uniformly seen, heard, and known across the entire school community and affiliated partnerships. Dit The school leader champions the implementation of a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being and holds the school community of stakeholders accountable for working to realize the long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents.
Partnerships.
Digital Description Digital Description Developing
Alighly Effective and well-being and holds the school community of stakeholders accountable for working to realize the long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders regularly monitor and evaluate progress toward attainment of SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP that are aligned to the long-term vision, making adjustments when goals are not achieved, improvements are needed, and priorities become misaligned. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created and supported by a representative group of staff, families, and students and some affiliated partnerships. b) The school leader shares a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being with the school community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed adata-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART g
long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders regularly monitor and evaluate progress toward attainment of SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP that are aligned to the long-term vision, making adjustments when goals are not achieved, improvements are needed, and priorities become misaligned. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created and supported by a representative group of staff, families, and students and some affiliated partnerships. b) The school leader shares a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being with the school community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-ter
Comparison and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents.
c) The school leader and community stakeholders regularly monitor and evaluate progress toward attainment of SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP that are aligned to the long-term vision, making adjustments when goals are not achieved, improvements are needed, and priorities become misaligned. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created and supported by a representative group of staff, families, and students and some affiliated partnerships. b) The school leader shares a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being with the school community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school elader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP aligned to the long-term vision. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. linterviews with Students – #: District Mission/Vision Statement Staff survey results District Data Committee
attainment of SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP that are aligned to the long-term vision, making adjustments when goals are not achieved, improvements are needed, and priorities become misaligned. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created and supported by a representative group of staff, families, and students and some affiliated partnerships. b) The school leader shares a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being with the school community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. C) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. C) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. C) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not
adjustments when goals are not achieved, improvements are needed, and priorities become misaligned. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created and supported by a representative group of staff, families, and students and some affiliated partnerships. b) The school leader shares a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being with the school community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP aligned to the long-term vision. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. l) Classroom Observations – # Visited: l) Interviews with Students – #: l) Documents Reviewed: District Data Committee
a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created and supported by a representative group of staff, families, and students and some affiliated partnerships. b) The school leader shares a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being with the school community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP aligned to the long-term vision. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations – # Visited:
of staff, families, and students and some affiliated partnerships. b) The school leader shares a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being with the school community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP aligned to the long-term vision. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. C) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. linterviews with Students — #:
b) The school leader shares a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being with the school community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP aligned to the long-term vision. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. linterviews with Students – #: linterviews with Students – #: linterviews with Sudents – #: linterviews with Teachers – #: linterviews with Tea
School community of stakeholders and has a plan for how to work together to realize this long-term vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP aligned to the long-term vision. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations – # Visited: Interviews with Students – #: Interviews with Sudents – #: Interviews with Sudents – #: Interviews with Teachers – #: District Mission/Vision Statement Staff survey results District Data Committee
vision and the school priorities as outlined in the SCEP and other school improvement documents. c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP aligned to the long-term vision. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c)
c) The school leader and community stakeholders monitor and evaluate progress once or twice a year toward SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP aligned to the long-term vision. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. C) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations – # Visited: Classroom Observations – Wisited: Classroom Observations – Wisited: Classroom Observations –
toward SMART goals and priorities in the SCEP aligned to the long-term vision. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations – # Visited: Classroom Observations – Wisited: Classroom Observations – Wis
a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are created with limited input by stakeholders, and are in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. l Classroom Observations – # Visited: l Interviews with Students – #: l District Mission/Vision Statement Staff survey results District Data Committee
in the process of being shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has a data-driven mission for student achievement and well-being, outlined in the SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. C) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. C) Classroom Observations – # Visited: District Mission/Vision Statement Staff survey results District Data Committee
Developing Developing Developing SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. C) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations - # Visited:
Developing SCEP, and is in the process of developing how the school community will work to realize the long-term vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations - # Visited:
vision. c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations – # Visited: Interviews with Students – #: Interviews with Support Staff – #: Interviews with Teachers – #: Interviews with Teachers – #: District Mission/Vision Statement Staff survey results District Data Committee
c) The school leader is in the process of adapting SMART goals that better align to the long-term vision, or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations – # Visited: Interviews with Students – #: Interviews with Support Staff – #: Interviews with Support Staff – #: Interviews with Teachers – #: Interviews with Teachers – #: District Mission/Vision Statement Staff survey results District Data Committee
or these SMART goals exist but are not monitored and evaluated. a) The SMART goals/mission and long-term vision are unknown, not commonly understood, and/or have not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations – # Visited: Classroom Observations – # Visited: Classroom Observations – # Usited: Classroo
not been shared with staff, families, and students across the school community. b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations – # Visited: Documents Reviewed:
Ineffective b) The school leader has not developed a data-driven mission that is connected to the long-term vision. c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations - # Visited:
c) The school leader has not developed SMART goals or the current goals are not aligned to the long-term vision. Classroom Observations - # Visited: Documents Reviewed: Interviews with Students - #: District Mission/Vision Statement Check all that apply. Staff survey results Interviews with Teachers - #: District Data Committee District
term vision. Classroom Observations – # Visited: Documents Reviewed: Interviews with Students – #: District Mission/Vision Statement Interviews with Support Staff – #: Staff survey results Check all that apply. Interviews with Teachers – #: District Data Committee
Classroom Observations − # Visited: Documents Reviewed:
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply. Interviews with Students – #: District Mission/Vision Statement Staff survey results District Data Committee
determine the rating. Check all that apply. Interviews with Support Staff – #: Staff survey results District Data Committee
Check all that apply. Interviews with Teachers – #: District Data Committee
Interviews with Departs (Cuardians #
☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians — #: Website/Newsletter ☐ Other: Staff survey
If the SOP rating is Effective, Developing or Ineffective, please provide a response in the areas below.
Actions in this area to be taken to
improve the identified subgroup(s)
student performance levels.
Describe the district resources to be
used to implement the actions in
used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified
used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance
used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified
used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.
used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance

acti				

Rating	Statement of Practice 2.3:	Leaders make strategic decisions to organize program	matic, human, and fiscal capital resources.
	Highly Effective	a) The school leader collaborates with staff to create afford students and teachers the ability to fully bene includes a creative expanded learning time program, b) The school leader strategically recruits, hires, and partner organizations to create a pool of internal and creatively, equitably, and adequately meet the acade district makes the hiring decisions, the school leader staff. c) The school leader analyzes and identifies fiscal cap the school year, making on-going strategic and susta school-wide goals, considering the needs of all stude fiscal decisions, the school leader articulates success:	fit from a flexible and thoughtful program, which that are aligned to student achievement. sustains personnel. The leader uses a variety of dexternal human capital that enables the school to emic and social needs of all students. Where the articulates successfully the need for appropriate bital available to the school community throughout inable decisions to fund targeted efforts aligned to ents and staff members. Where the district makes the
	Effective	a) The school leader collaborates with staff to create students and teachers, which incorporates an expansion student achievement. b) The school leader uses some partnerships to recruschool to meet the academic and social needs of the decisions, the school leader articulates the need for c) The school leader analyzes and identifies fiscal cap the school year, making interim strategic decisions to considering the needs of all students and staff members the school leader articulates the need for appropriate	ded learning time program, that are aligned to uit, hire, and sustain personnel that enable the students. Where the district makes the hiring appropriate staff. uital available to the school community throughout of fund targeted efforts aligned to school-wide goals, overs. Where the district makes the fiscal decisions,
	Developing	a) The school leader uses systems, including an expansion students and teachers that are aligned to student act b) The school leader has taken some steps to secure academic and social needs of the students. Where the leader has not clearly articulated the school's needs. c) The school leader makes decisions sporadically on aligned to school-wide goals. Where the district make clearly articulated the school's funding need.	hievement for some groups of students. personnel who will enable the school to meet the ne district makes the hiring decisions, the school the use of available fiscal capital to fund efforts
	Ineffective	a) The school leader does not have systems for progrincluding an expanded learning time program, are not learning time program does not exist and there are result to be school leader is not addressing the need to his of the students. Where the district makes the hiring to communicate with the district about hiring needs. c) The school leader does not connect the use of fiscal decisions, the school leader has not made a funding needs.	ot aligned to student achievement, or an expanded no plans to create one. The personnel to meet the academic and social needs decisions, the school leader has not made an effort all capital to school goals. Where the district makes
	licate the evidence used to e the rating. nat apply.	☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other: _survey	Documents Reviewed: Increased staff Survey data Schedule Hired Director of Curriculum
If the SC	P rating is Effective, De	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a res	sponse in the areas below.
Actions in improve t	this area to be taken to he identified subgroup(s) erformance levels.		
used to im this area t	the district resources to be inplement the actions in o improve the identified (s) student performance		
Describe t	he professional		
20001100			

development activities planned to	
support the implementation of the	
actions in this area.	

Rating		The school leader has a fully functional system in place 3) to conduct targeted and frequent observation and t	· · · · · ·		
	Highly Effective	a) The school leader and other school administrators plan, known to all staff and aligned to the district's A actionable feedback throughout the school year on the b) The school leader and other school administrators providing timely, on-going, evidence-based, actional established criteria, including the teaching rubric and which encourages and supports staff to take owners c) The school leader and other school administrators observation data and other measures of teacher effection-going targeted professional development opport mentoring, peer support) to staff.	APPR plan, for frequently observing and providing teaching practices based on student data. It is are implementing the school's observation plan and pole feedback to staff that is directly aligned to dother agreed upon evaluation instruments, and whip for the next stage of their development. It is monitor the plan for observing teachers and use ectiveness to assign/reassign, counsel, and provide		
	Effective	a) The school leader has developed a plan, aligned to and providing actionable feedback on teaching practidata. b) The school leader and other school administrators providing timely on-going, evidence-based, actionable established criteria, including the teaching rubric and c) The school leader and other school administrators observation data and other measures of teacher effection-going professional development opportunities to	s are implementing the school year based on student seed implementing the school's observation plan and alle feedback to staff that is directly aligned to dother agreed upon evaluation instruments. It is monitor the plan for observing teachers and use ectiveness to assign/reassign, counsel, and provide		
	Developing	 a) The school leader and other school administrators use an informal schedule for observing and providing feedback on teaching practices throughout the school year and/or are developing a formalized plan aligned to the district's APPR plan. b) The school leader and other school administrators are providing feedback to staff that is aligned to established criteria, including the teaching rubric and other agreed upon evaluation instruments. c) The school leader and other school administrators do not consistently use observation data to provide on-going professional development opportunities to staff. 			
	Ineffective	a) The school leader and other school administrators observing teaching practices and/or providing feedb b) The school leader and other school administrators established criteria, including the teaching rubric and	s have no formal plan or schedule for frequently ack. s are not providing feedback to staff aligned to dother agreed upon evaluation instruments. s do not use observation data and other measures of		
	licate the evidence used to e the rating. nat apply.	Classroom Observations – # Visited: Interviews with Students – #: Interviews with Support Staff – #: Interviews with Teachers – #: Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: Other:	Documents Reviewed: APPR observation data APPR Plan and observation schedule Instructional Coaching with BOCES Professional Development Plan		
If the SC	OP rating <u>is</u> Effective , De	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re	sponse in the areas below.		
improve t	this area to be taken to he identified subgroup(s) erformance levels.				
used to in this area t	the district resources to be inplement the actions in to improve the identified (s) student performance				
developm	the professional ent activities planned to ne implementation of the this area.				

	Statement of Practice 2.5: Leaders effectively use evidence-based systems and structures to examine and improve critical individual						
Rating	and school-wide practices	as defined in the SCEP (student achievement, curricult	um and teacher practices; leadership development;				
	community/family engage	ment; and student social and emotional developmenta	al health).				
	Highly Effective	a) The school leader models excellence for the staff in the creation and use of evidence-based systems that are dynamic, adaptive, and interconnected and address individual and school-wide practices. b) The school leader, in collaboration with the school community, monitors and revises evidence-based systems that address practices used by staff members connected to improvement areas in the SCEP.					
	Effective	a) The school leader requires the staff to use evidence-based systems that are dynamic, adaptive, and interconnected and address individual and school-wide practices. b) The school leader monitors and revises evidence-based systems that address practices used by staff members connected to improvement areas in the SCEP.					
	a) The school leader encourages the staff to use systems that are interconnected and/or systems modified based on analysis of school-wide practices. b) The school leader is working to develop an evidence-based system to monitor and revise practices used by staff members.						
	a) The school leader does not encourage the staff to use systems, or systems do not exist to add school-wide practices. b) The school leader has not taken steps to develop an evidence-based system to monitor and repractices used by the staff members.						
	icate the evidence used to the rating. at apply.	☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other: Student Survey	Documents Reviewed: Monthly data meetings/RTI Plan Curriculum Maps Addition of a Director of Curriculum Student Survey				
If the SOP rating is Effective , Developing or Ineffective , please provide a response in the areas below.			sponse in the areas below				
Actions in improve t	this area to be taken to he identified subgroup(s) erformance levels.	There are many positives in this area. The school lea and community involvement with the school. May a other family event, and will look into a parent training	der will continue to look at ways to increase family dd an additional parent night, family game night or				
used to im this area t	he district resources to be applement the actions in o improve the identified (s) student performance	Newsletters, open houses, parent conferences, teach	ner websites, parent curriculum night				
developm	he professional ent activities planned to ne implementation of the this area.						

aligned to	Tenet 3 - Curriculum Development and Support: The school has rigorous and coherent curricula and assessments that are ***appropriately aligned to the Common Core Learning Standards (CCLS) for all students and are modified for identified subgroups in order to maximize teacher instructional practices and student-learning outcomes.					
Rating	Statement of Practice 3.2: The school leader ensures and supports the quality implementation of a systematic plan of rigorous and					
	Highly Effective	a) The school leader, using a distributive leadership of that has targeted goals to address the needs of all st development support, and vertical/horizontal collab implementation of rigorous CCLS curricula. b) The school leader uses the systematic plan to produce, inter-visitation, cross-grade conversations, exer curriculum writers, and CCLS conferences), materials instructional shifts for individual and subgroups of st c) The school leader ensures that a cohesive, compredeveloped units aligned to CCLS and NYS standards, interventions, AIS/RTI, dual credit courses, and elect curricula and regularly examining formative and sum a) The school leader ensures that a systematic plan (udents and subgroups, a schedule for professional orative meeting time) exists for the quality vide teachers access to robust pedagogical support implar curriculum models, access to expert CCLS and training aligned to CCLS curricula and cudents. Sehensive, and adaptive curricula, inclusive of clearly is used across all areas of study, including lives, by monitoring the implementation of the imative assessments and student work.			
\boxtimes	Effective	needs of all students and subgroups, a schedule for professional development support, and vertical/horizontal collaborative meeting time) exists for the quality implementation of rigorous CCLS curricula. b) The school leader uses the systematic plan to provide teachers access to pedagogical support, materials, and training aligned to CCLS curricula and instructional shifts for individual and subgroups of students. c) The school leader ensures that staff use a comprehensive and adaptive curricula, inclusive of clearly developed units aligned to CCLS and NYS standards, across all areas of study, including interventions, AIS/RTI, dual credit courses, and electives, by monitoring the implementation of curricula.				
	Developing	 a) The school leader is in the process of developing a written plan that includes targeted goals to address the needs of students, schedule/calendar for professional development support, and vertical/horizontal collaborative meeting time for implementing CCLS curricula. b) The school leader is beginning to provide appropriate access to pedagogical support, materials, and training to teachers aligned to CCLS curricula and instructional shifts for individual and subgroups of students. c) The school leader encourages staff to use comprehensive curricula, inclusive of clearly developed units aligned to CCLS and NYS standards, across all areas of study, including interventions, AIS/RTI, dual credit courses, and electives. 				
	a) The school leader has no written plan for CCLS and NYS curricula alignment across all areas of study and is not developing one. b) The school leader does not provide access to pedagogical support, materials, and training to teacher aligned to CCLS curricula and instructional shifts for individual and subgroups of students. c) The school leader does not ensure and makes little effort to encourage teachers across all areas of study to use curricula aligned to CCLS and NYS standards.					
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other:	Documents Reviewed: Curriculum Maps RTI Plan Horizontal planning Addition of Director of Curriculum			
		eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re				
improve t	this area to be taken to he identified subgroup(s) erformance levels.	Continue to refine the use of data to make instruction instructional practices. Teacher release time for curr				
used to in this area t	the district resources to be inplement the actions in to improve the identified (s) student performance	Curriculum director in district Genesee Valley BOCES-SESIS team will be working w	ith the schools to look at curriculum and data.			

Describe the professional	Ongoing coaching and professional development in the area of data driven instruction
development activities planned to	Continue data meetings
support the implementation of the	
actions in this area.	

Datica	Statement of Practice 3.3:	Teachers develop and ensure that unit and lesson pla	ans used include data-driven instruction (DDI)	
Rating		iately aligned to the CCLS and NYS content standards	and address student achievement needs.	
	Highly Effective	analysis of formative and summative assessments, s areas. b) Teachers use a full complement of curricula tools, lesson plans, across all grades, content areas, and cland scaffolded skills for all groups of students (included and use a variety of complex materials appropriately c) Teachers consistently monitor and adjust curriculary.	e level DDI protocols (e.g., documentation of ongoing tudent work, use of rubrics) in all grades and subject, such as pacing calendars, curriculum maps, unit and asses that incorporate a progression of sequenced ding special education and English language learners) y aligned to the CCLS. a to support the CCLS instructional shifts and NYS skills are consistently present by providing necessary	
	Effective	a) Teachers develop collaboratively unit and lesson pDDI protocols (e.g., documentation of ongoing analy student work, use of rubrics) and address student acb) Teachers use unit and lesson plans across all grad	plans that meet the demands of CCLS and grade-level visis of formative and summative assessments, chievement needs in all grades and subject areas. es, content areas, and classes that incorporate a ll groups of students (including special education and olex materials appropriately aligned to the CCLS. the CCLS instructional shifts and NYS content e consistently present by providing necessary	
	a) Teachers individually or inconsistently collaborate to develop unit and lesson plans based on studata to meet the demands of CCLS and grade level DDI protocols (e.g., documentation of ongoing analysis of formative and summative assessments, student work, use of rubrics) in all grades and stareas. b) Teachers use lesson plans that are either inconsistently aligned to CCLS or are aligned to the CCL do not use a variety of complex materials that incorporate a progression of sequenced and scaffold skills. c) Teachers either are in the process of developing protocols to monitor and adjust curricula to sup the CCLS instructional shifts and NYS content standards, or teachers inconsistently monitor and adjust curricula across the school, or teachers monitor and adjust no more than twice a year for all groups students across content areas and grades.			
	Ineffective	 a) Teachers do not use formal structures and data to plans. b) Teachers use lesson plans that are not aligned to c) Teachers do not monitor and adjust curricula, and 	CCLS.	
	licate the evidence used to e the rating. nat apply.	☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other:	Documents Reviewed: Data Meetings with Director of Curr./RTI plan APPR observation data Assessment schedule	
If the SC	OP rating is Effective, De	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re	sponse in the areas below.	
improve t	this area to be taken to he identified subgroup(s) erformance levels.	Continue data meetings and the use of the RTI plan Continue to look at tier 1 instruction		
used to in this area t	the district resources to be inplement the actions in to improve the identified (s) student performance	This is the focus of the Superintendent's Conference District has a curriculum director Monthly administrative team meetings Monthly grade level student data meetings	e days for the year	
Describe t	he professional			

development activities planned to	
support the implementation of the	
actions in this area.	

Rating		The school leader and teachers have developed a concts to create interdisciplinary curricula targeting the a	
	Highly Effective	a) The school leader and teachers consistently use comprehensive and adaptive plans to form partnerships and create interdisciplinary curricula targeting the arts, technology, and other enrichment opportunities within and across all grade levels and subjects. b) Teachers consistently collaborate within and across grades and subjects to deliver interdisciplinary curricula that incorporate the arts, technology, and other enrichment opportunities, and there is evidence that teachers regularly develop innovative strategies for connecting these curricula with subject-specific curricula. c) Teachers consistently collaborate in grade/subject level teams to formally reflect on the impact of the interdisciplinary curricula, take immediate action to make revisions when needed, and ensure that there is a common understanding about what is taught and why it is taught.	
	Effective	a) The school leader and teachers use plans to form partnerships and create interdisciplinary curricula targeting the arts, technology, and other enrichment opportunities within and across all grade levels and subjects. b) Teachers periodically collaborate within grades and subjects to deliver interdisciplinary curricula that incorporate the arts, technology, and other enrichment opportunities, and there is evidence that teachers regularly develop innovative strategies for connecting these curricula with subject-specific curricula. c) Teachers periodically collaborate in grade/subject level teams to formally reflect on the impact of the interdisciplinary curricula, take action according to a set schedule (e.g., beginning, middle, and end of the year), make revisions when needed, and ensure that there is a common understanding about what is taught and why it is taught.	
	Developing	a) The school leader and teachers address interdisciplinary curricula and develop partnerships in the absence of a plan or are in the process of developing a plan to create interdisciplinary curricula and partnerships targeting the arts, technology, and other enrichment opportunities within and across all grade levels and core subjects. b) Teachers are beginning to collaborate and discuss ways to deliver interdisciplinary curricula that incorporate the arts, technology, and other enrichment opportunities, or limited numbers of teachers deliver interdisciplinary curricula within grades and subjects. c) Teachers individually reflect on the impact of interdisciplinary curricula, or the school leader and teachers are in the process of planning formal reflection time to discuss the impact of interdisciplinary curricula and make revisions.	
	Ineffective	 a) The school leader and teachers do not have formal partnerships to address interdisciplinary curricula. b) Teachers do not collaborate and discuss ways to deliver interdisciplinary curricula within grades and subjects. c) Teachers have not developed interdisciplinary curricula, or there are no plans or processes for reflecting and revising current curricula. 	
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other:	Documents Reviewed: Staffing changes Transdiciplinary team meetings PE, art, music involvement in curr. meetings PE, art, music and examples
If the SC	OP rating is Effective , D o	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re-	sponse in the areas below.
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.			
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.			
Describe the professional			

development activities planned to	
support the implementation of the	
actions in this area.	

Rating	Statement of Practice 3.5: Teachers implement a comprehensive system for using formative and summative assessments for			
Nating	strategic short and long-range curriculum planning that involves student reflection, tracking of, and ownership of learning.			
	Highly Effective	a) Teachers have and use strategic comprehensive assessment system for using multiple measures of data: structure and protocols for analysis, plan to track progress over time on explicitly identified targets, creation of pre- and post-unit assessments and have a process for adapting curriculum that demonstrates improving individual and subgroup achievement. b) Teachers create and use a variety of appropriate, common assessments data (including pre-, post-, formative and summative) across all grades and subject areas ensuring alignment between the curriculum and assessment tools. c) Teachers have a system for providing regular and explicit feedback to students that is based on data and facilitates student ownership of learning. This system includes student use of rubrics to complete assignments, student self-assessment, student tracking of individual progress, and student reflection upon and adjustment of individual learning strategies to address explicit teacher feedback.		
\boxtimes	Effective	 a) Teachers have and use a comprehensive system for using data: identified targets, pre-and post-unit assessments and have a process for adapting curriculum that demonstrates improving individual and subgroup achievement. b) Teachers use pre-, post-, formative and summative assessment data across all grades and subject areas, ensuring alignment between the curriculum and assessment tools. c) Teachers provide on-going feedback on data to students, supporting student ownership of learning. 		
	Developing	a) Teachers are beginning to develop a system to analyze and use data to make curricular decisions. b) Teachers are in the process of developing multiples types of assessments to ensure alignment between curriculum and assessment, or the use of the variety of assessments is inconsistent throughout the school. c) Teachers are learning to provide feedback based on data to students to address student ownership of learning.		
	Ineffective	a) Teachers discuss data, but these discussions do not inform curricular decisions.b) Teachers do not use a variety of assessments, or the assessments used are misaligned.c) Teachers do not provide feedback based on data.		
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other:	Documents Reviewed: Data team meeting schedule and protocols Grade level meetings Examples of students tracking their progress Student conferences around reading assessments	
If the SC	OP rating is Effective , De	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a res	sponse in the areas below.	
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		Continue grade level meetings Continue Data meetings Teachers are more familiar with NYS modules and are beginning to use them flexibly to meet the individual needs of students		
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		Professional development days Curriculum director Release time for data meetings and module assessments Coaching support with BOCES/SESIS		
Describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the actions in this area.		Faculty meetings will be used to reflect on instruction	n/assessment	

what stud	lents know and need to lear	ons: Teachers engage in strategic practices and decision-making in order to address the gap between , so that all students and pertinent subgroups experience consistent high levels of engagement, thinking		
and achie	Statement of Practice 4.2:	nt of Practice 4.2: School and teacher leaders ensure that instructional practices and strategies are organized around nit, and daily lesson plans that address all student goals and needs.		
	Highly Effective	a) School and teacher leaders ensure that teachers use daily a transparent, targeted plan that is informed by data (summative, interim, attendance, IEPs, NYSESLAT, etc.) and grade-level and school-wide goals for all groups of students. b) Teacher leaders and coaches ensure that teachers use instructional practices and strategies that are adaptive and aligned to plans for groups of students with a variety of needs and learning styles (including students with disabilities, English language learners and other sub-groups) and provide timely and appropriate instructional interventions and extensions for all students. c) Teacher leaders and coaches ensure that teachers use data to establish short- and long-term goals with learning trajectories for groups of students based on identified and timely needs.		
	Effective	a) School and teacher leaders ensure that teachers use a plan that is informed by data (summative, interim, attendance, IEPs, NYSESLAT, etc.) and grade-level goals for all groups of students. b) Teacher leaders and coaches ensure that teachers use instructional practices and strategies that are adaptive and aligned to plans for groups of students with a variety of needs and learning styles (including students with disabilities, English language learners and other sub-groups) and provide instructional interventions to students. c) Teacher leaders and coaches ensure that teachers establish short- and long-term goals for groups of students based on grade-level benchmarks.		
	Developing	a) School and teacher leaders are beginning to engage teachers in a conversation about aligning plans to data. b) Teacher leaders and coaches support teachers' use of instructional practices and strategies that are aligned to plans to provide instructional interventions to students, or teacher leaders and coaches are beginning to support the alignment of teachers' instruction to newly developed plans. c) Teacher leaders and coaches are beginning to work with teachers to establish short or long-term goals for groups of students.		
	Ineffective	 a) School and teacher leaders do not support or engage teachers in a conversation about aligning plans to data. b) Teacher leaders and coaches do not support teachers in the use of instructional practices and strategies aligned to plans, or teachers are not providing instructional interventions to students. c) Teachers have not created goals for groups of students, and there is no plan for teacher leaders and coaches to support this effort. 		
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		Classroom Observations − # Visited:		
If the SO	OP rating <u>is</u> Effective , D	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a response in the areas below.		
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		Continued work on Curriculum Maps Continued grade level meeting with Director of Curriculum Instructional Coaching Continue Tier 1 and 2 progress monitoring within classroom Development of research based assessment and interventions toolkit		
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		Professional development Genesee Valley BOCES Coaching/SESIS work Researched based materials for teachers Research based assessments/interventions		
Describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the actions in this area. Ongoing coaching with the SESIS/BOCES Awareness of research based instructional reading practices PD on choosing the appropriate assessments to match student need		Awareness of research based instructional reading practices		

Rating		Teachers provide coherent, and appropriately aligneultiple points of access for all students.	d Common Core Learning Standards (CCLS)-based
	Highly Effective	 a) Teachers use instructional practices that are systematic and explicit, based on sequential lesson plans appropriately aligned to CCLS (or content based standards), and reflective of the CCLS SHIFTS to instruct all groups of students. b) Teachers stimulate deep levels of thinking and questioning in students through the use of adaptive CCLS (or content based standards) instructional materials that contain high levels of text and content complexity and multiple strategies to provide a wide variety of ways to engage in learning. 	
\boxtimes	Effective	a) Teachers use instructional practices appropriately aligned to CCLS (or content based standards) lesson plans are reflective of the CCLS SHIFTS to instruct all groups of students. b) Teachers stimulate student thinking by asking questions through the use of adaptive CCLS (or content-based standards) instructional materials that contain high levels of text and content complexity.	
	Developing	 a) Teachers are beginning to develop lesson plans that are appropriately aligned to CCLS (or content based standards) and reflective of the CCLS SHIFTS to inform their instructional practices, or some teachers use instructional practices aligned to CCLS lesson plans and reflective of the CCLS SHIFTS in specific content areas. b) Teachers across the school do not consistently ask higher-order thinking questions, or the instructional materials do not contain high levels of text and content complexity. 	
	Ineffective	a) Teacher instruction is not aligned to CCLS or content-based standards and not based on lesson plans.b) Teachers use strategies and ask questions that require only basic knowledge of the subject and limit ways in which students are able to acquire learning by providing a single point of access for all students.	
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other:	☐ Documents Reviewed: Online CBMs and computer based instructional tools. Technology based ELA AIS tools that align to CCLS The use of modules/curriculum work meetings Addition of Director of Curriculum
If the SC	OP rating is Effective . D	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re	esponse in the areas below.
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		Continue to work with teachers to use data to make instructional decisions and the flexible use of the NYS curriculum materials to make instructional decision to meet the needs of all groups of students. Continue the use of technology to target instruction on an individual student basis.	
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		Release time Superintendent's conference days Faculty meetings Curriculum director Phased plan for one to one student devices	
development activities planned to		Coaching Meeting Director of Curriculum PD for teachers on student technology	

Rating	Statement of Practice 4.4:	eachers and students work together to implement a program/plan to create a learning environment that		
Kating	is responsive to students' varied experiences and tailored to the strengths and needs of all students.			
\boxtimes	Highly Effective	a) Teachers use a program/plan that contributes to positive reinforcements of behaviors by using behavioral expectations that are explicitly taught and promotes an environment in which students are citizens of their class, and there is a common understanding of how one is treated and treats others. b) Teachers work together with students across the school to acknowledge diversity, provide access to learning and social opportunities, and encourage students to have a voice in their educational experience. c) Teachers foster student buy-in and adapt to the changing needs of the student population and collaborate with other teachers to create thoughtful learning environments that incorporate student values and perspectives.		
	Effective	 a) Teachers use a program/plan that promotes a common understanding of the classroom environment envisioned by the school community and explicitly teaches students behavioral expectations and recognition of acceptable and safe behaviors. b) Teachers work together with students to use strategies that acknowledge diverse groups of students and their needs. c) Teachers foster student understanding and adapt to the changing needs of the student population and create thoughtful learning environments that incorporate student values and perspectives. 		
	Developing	 a) Teachers have a program/plan for acceptable student expectations and are developing strategies for the program/plan to be consistently enforced or recognized by students, or teachers have a program/plan for acceptable student expectations, but do not enforce it. b) Some teachers use strategies that acknowledge diverse groups of students and their needs, or teachers are developing strategies that acknowledge diverse groups of students and their needs. c) Teachers are discussing strategies that address the changing needs of the student population and reflect student values and perspectives. 		
	Ineffective	 a) Teachers do not have a program/plan that establishes acceptable classroom expectations for behavior. b) Teachers' strategies do not acknowledge diverse groups of students and their needs. c) Teachers do not use strategies that address the changing needs of the student population and reflect student values and perspectives. 		
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		□ Classroom Observations – # Visited: □ Documents Reviewed: □ Interviews with Students – #: □ Student council □ Interviews with Support Staff – #: □ Student/parent handbooks □ Interviews with Teachers – #: □ PBIS plan □ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: □ Character Education Program		
If the SC	OP rating <u>is</u> Effective , De	veloping or Ineffective, please provide a response in the areas below.		
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.				
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.				
Describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the actions in this area.				

Rating Statement of Practice 4.5: Teachers inform planning and foster student participation in their own learning			ation in their own learning process by using a variety	
Rating	of summative and formative data sources (e.g., screening, interim measures, and progress monitoring).			
		a) Teachers use a wide variety of relevant data to create adaptive lesson plans that account for student		
		grouping and determine the appropriate intensity ar	nd duration of instruction.	
		b) Teachers use summative and formative assessme		
\boxtimes	Highly Effective	interim measures and outcome assessments, to develop highly dynamic and responsive plans based on		
		students' strengths and needs.		
		c) Teachers provide frequent and relevant feedback to students based on the analysis of timely data, and		
		students draw on the feedback so that they can refle	ect upon and assess their own progress.	
		a) Teachers use data to create targeted plans and ad	ljust student groupings and instructional strategies	
		for most students.		
	Effective	b) Teachers use summative and formative assessme	nt data to inform instructional decision making,	
	Liteetive	including student grouping and instructional strateg		
		c) Teachers provide frequent feedback to students b		
		students with next steps for students to take to prog	gress.	
		a) Teachers are beginning to use plans for adjusting		
_		b) Teachers are in the process of using summative and formative assessments that inform instructional		
	Developing	decision making, or the practice of using data sources and analyzing the information to inform		
		instructional decision making is inconsistent.		
		c) Teachers provide limited data-based feedback to students.		
_		a) Teachers do not have or use plans for grouping students and adjusting their instructio		
	Ineffective	b) Teachers do not use summative and formative ass	-	
		c) Teachers provide feedback that is not purposeful or based on data.		
		Classroom Observations – # Visited:		
Please inc	licate the evidence used to	Interviews with Students – #:	CBM assessment systems	
	e the rating.	Interviews with Support Staff – #:	Monthly data meetings	
Check all th	nat apply.	Interviews with Teachers – #:	Additional/Flexible AIS Time	
		Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #:	Assessment Schedule	
		Uther:		
If the SC	OP rating <u>is</u> Effective , D o	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re	sponse in the areas below.	
Actions in	this area to be taken to			
improve t	he identified subgroup(s)			
student p	erformance levels.			
	the district resources to be			
	nplement the actions in			
this area to improve the identified				
	(s) student performance			
levels.				
	the professional			
development activities planned to				
support the implementation of the				
actions in this area.				

developm		al Developmental Health: The school community iden d experiences that lead to healthy relationships and a	
Rating	Statement of Practice 5.2: The school leader establishes overarching systems and understandings of how to support and sustain		
Nating	student social and emotion	nal developmental health and academic success.	
	Highly Effective	a) The school leader, using a distributive leadership model, establishes a deliberate system, understood and followed by all staff members, that allows each student to be well known by a designated adult and positively reinforces academic success for all students. b) The school leader ensures that all staff members know and use a strategic and comprehensive system for referral and support for all students that includes researched-based programs and practices intended to promote academic success, family engagement, and student emotional health and wellness. c) The school leader ensures that all stakeholders strategically use data to identify areas of need, cultivates purposeful partnerships to promote student social and emotional health and academic achievement, and leverages internal or external resources to support and sustain the system.	
	Effective	 a) The school leader, together with staff, establishes a system that allows each student to be known by a designated adult and positively reinforces academic success for all students. b) The school leader ensures that all staff knows and uses research-based programs and practices for referral and support for all students that address social and emotional developmental health and academic success. c) The school leader ensures that all staff members use data to identify areas of need and leverages internal resources to promote student social and emotional developmental health. 	
	Developing	 a) The school leader is working with staff to develop a system that will allow each student to be known well by an adult, or the system that exists is inconsistently practiced by staff members. b) The school leader is developing a system of referral and support that addresses the social and emotional developmental health and academic success of students, or the system that exists is not consistently used across the school. c) The school leader is developing or improving the mechanism for staff members to use data to identify areas of need connected to student social and emotional developmental health, or data is used by some staff members to promote student social and emotional developmental health. 	
	Ineffective	 a) The school leader has not prioritized the development of an effective system that will allow each student to be known by an adult. b) The school leader has not developed a system of referral and support, or the system in place does not address the needs of the students. c) The school leader has not made the use of data a priority in identifying student areas of need connected to social and emotional developmental health. 	
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		Classroom Observations – # Visited: Interviews with Students – #: Interviews with Support Staff – #: Interviews with Teachers – #: Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: Other:	Documents Reviewed: Sustained a building councilor & Social Worker Collaborate with county agencies PBIS Plan/Second Step/RTI Plan FBA/BIP's
If the SC	OP rating <u>is</u> Effective , De	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a res	sponse in the areas below.
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.			
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.			
Describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the actions in this area.			

	Statement of Practice 5.3: The school articulates and systematically promotes a vision for social and emotional developmental				
Rating	health that is aligned to a c	ed to a curriculum or program that provides learning experiences and a safe and healthy school environment for			
	families, teachers, and students.				
\boxtimes	Highly Effective	 a) There is a comprehensive and coherent curriculum or program that incorporates research-based practices aligned to the school vision that enables students to articulate a sense of belonging and ownership in their school community and facilitates the teaching of student social and emotional developmental health. b) All stakeholders receive professional development support to consistently act upon a shared understanding of skills and behaviors that address the social and emotional developmental health needs of students linked to academic success and promote the school community's vision of a safe and healthy environment. c) There is a comprehensive plan to monitor and revise the professional development provided stakeholders to build adult capacity to facilitate learning experiences to support student social and emotional developmental health within a safe and healthy environment. 			
	Effective	a) There is a curriculum or program that incorporates research-based practices aligned to the school vision that facilitates the teaching of student social and emotional developmental health. b) The school staff receives professional development support to use skills and behaviors that address the social and emotional developmental health needs of students and promote the school community's vision of a safe and healthy environment. c) There is a plan to monitor and revise the professional development provided staff that builds adult capacity to support student social and emotional developmental health within a safe and healthy environment.			
	Developing	a) The school is developing a curriculum or program to support and promote the teaching of student social and emotional developmental health. b) The school is developing ways to support the stakeholders' understanding of the skills and behaviors that address the social and emotional developmental health of students, or some staff members use skills and behaviors that address social and emotional developmental health needs of students. c) The school is beginning to monitor the professional development plan to build adult capacity to support student social and emotional developmental health.			
	Ineffective	a) The school does not have a curriculum or a program in place to support the teaching of student social and emotional developmental health, or the program in place does not meet student needs. b) The school has not identified skills and behaviors that address the social and emotional developmental health of students, and there are no plans to provide support aligned to this work. c) The school does not provide professional development to build adult capacity to support student social and emotional developmental health.			
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		□ Classroom Observations – # Visited: □ Interviews with Students – #: □ Interviews with Support Staff – #: □ Interviews with Teachers – #: □ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: □ Other:	Documents Reviewed: Sustained a building councilor & Social Worker Collaborate with county agencies PBIS Plan/Second Step/RTI Plan FBA/BIP's		
If the SC	P rating is Effective , D o	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re-	sponse in the areas below.		
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		7	•		
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.					
	the professional				
	ent activities planned to				
support the implementation of the actions in this area.					

	Statement of Practice 5.4:	ment of Practice 5.4: All school stakeholders work together to develop a common understanding of the importance of their		
Rating	contributions in creating a	ting a school community that is safe, conducive to learning, and fostering of a sense of ownership for providin		
	social and emotional devel	opmental health supports tied to the school's vision.		
\boxtimes	Highly Effective	a) The school community collaborated to develop and uses a plan that incorporates protocols and processes to communicate the important roles and contributions of all stakeholders in ensuring appropriate supports are provided to all students. b) Across the school community, students, teachers and parents have a voice in the decision-making process for developing their school culture and work together and have active roles in ensuring the school's vision pertaining to social emotional developmental health is achieved. c) The school community has implemented a system for monitoring and responding to student social and emotional developmental health needs, which ensures that adaptive measures are implemented specific to individual student needs when growth is not actualized.		
	Effective	a) The school community has and uses a plan that incorporates protocols and processes to address stakeholders' roles in contributing to how student supports are provided to all groups of students. b) Across the school community, students, teachers and parents work together and have an active role in ensuring the school's vision pertaining to student social and emotional developmental health is achieved. c) The school community has implemented a system for monitoring and responding to student social and developmental health needs, which is used to support teachers as they address student needs.		
	Developing	 a) The school community is developing protocols and processes for stakeholders to discuss their role in providing appropriate student supports for all groups of students. b) Across the school community students, teachers and parents inconsistently contribute towards ensuring the school's vision pertaining to student social and emotional developmental health is achieved. c) The school community is developing a system for monitoring and responding to student social and emotional developmental health needs. 		
	Ineffective	 a) The school community has no protocols and processes in place to identify stakeholders' roles, or the protocols and processes that exist are not aligned to student supports. b) Across the school community, students, teachers and parents do not understand or act on their role in ensuring the school's vision pertaining to student social and emotional developmental health is achieved. c) The school community has not prioritized the development of a system to monitor and respond to student social and emotional developmental health needs. 		
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		Classroom Observations − # Visited: _5 Interviews with Students − #: Interviews with Support Staff − #: Interviews with Teachers − #: Interviews with Parents/Guardians − #: Other: survey Documents Reviewed: Shared decision making committee "The Knights Way" PBIS plan/Open House data Newsletters/PTA		
If the SC	OP rating is Effective , De	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a response in the areas below.		
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.				
	the district resources to be			
used to implement the actions in				
this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance				
levels.				
	the professional			
development activities planned to				
support the implementation of the				
actions in this area.				

Rating Statement of Practice 5.5: The school leader and student support staff work together with teachers to establish support the use of data to respond to student social and emotional developmental health needs.			ether with teachers to establish structures to
			tal health needs.
\boxtimes	Highly Effective	 a) The school leader, in collaboration with stakeholders, has a plan with systems and structures (time, space and resources) for how to collect, analyze, and use a wide variety of data to address student social and emotional developmental health needs. b) The school community uses a strategic plan based on data to deliver and monitor timely services and supports to students. 	
	Effective	 a) The school leader, in collaboration with student support staff, has a plan with structures (time, space and resources) to use data to address student academic and social emotional developmental health needs. b) The school community uses a plan based on data to deliver services and supports to students. 	
	Developing	a) The school leader and the support staff are developing a plan for teachers to begin to understand how to use data to address student social and emotional developmental health needs.b) The school community collects data and is developing a plan to address ways to use the data to support students.	
	Ineffective	a) The school has no specific plan for how to use data to address student social emotional developmental health needs.b) The school community has not prioritized the need for using data to support students.	
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other:	Documents Reviewed: Office Referal Data Attendance Data Character Ed. Committee Student success team/RTI Team
If the SC	OP rating is Effective , De	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re	sponse in the areas below.
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.			
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.			
Describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the actions in this area.			

		agement: The school creates a culture of partnership v		
		nare in the responsibility for student academic progress and social-emotional growth and well-being. ent of Practice 6.2: The school leader ensures that regular communication with students and families fosters their high		
Rating	expectations for student a		with state in a national content their ing.	
	Highly Effective	a) The school leader, in collaboration with the staff, ensures that staff members are knowledgeable about the school's priority and plan for students to graduate from high school and complete postsecondary education (college, CTE) with advanced competence, and school staff regularly communicate this priority to students, parents, and community leaders in ways that are appropriate for the grade level of the students. b) The school community implements proactively the plan to create diverse and meaningful opportunities for engaging students, families, and community stakeholders in the conversation regarding high expectations for student academic achievement and uses multiple points of entry to provide tips and tools focused on student learning and development. c) The school leader and community review and assess routinely how parents respond to the efforts to build family-school partnerships to foster high expectations for student academic achievement and make timely adjustments if strategies are not working.		
	Effective	a) The school leader makes it a school-wide priority for all students and their families to be aware of the school-wide expectations and plan pertaining to graduating from the school and provides age appropriate information about college and postsecondary CTE programs to students and their families. b) The school community implements the plan to foster conversations with students and families regarding high expectations for student academic achievement and provides tips and tools focused on student learning and development. c) The school staff reviews and assesses how parents respond to the efforts to build family-school relationships and makes periodic adjustments to those efforts if strategies are not working.		
	Developing	 a) Most of the school staff members are knowledgeable about the expectations for student academic achievement, or the school leader is developing a plan to communicate the expectations to students and families. b) The school community is beginning to use a plan to encourage students and families to learn about the expectations for student academic achievement, or a limited number of staff members are having these conversations with students and families. c) The school staff is working to adjust their efforts to build family-school relationships, or only some staff regularly review and adjust their efforts to building relationships to foster high expectations for student academic achievement. 		
	Ineffective	 a) The school leader has not prioritized communicating high expectations for student academic achievement, and there is little or no evidence that staff communicates these expectations to students and families. b) The school community does not promote or engage students and families in conversations regarding student academic expectations. c) The school staff is neither examining nor adjusting the school's efforts to build relationships with students and families to foster high expectations for student academic achievement. 		
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other:	Documents Reviewed: Website/School Calendar Parent newsletters/Open House Informational Parent Nights Report Cards	
If the SC	OP rating <u>is</u> Effective , D e	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re	sponse in the areas below.	
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.				
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.				
Describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the				

actions in this area.	

Rating Statement of Practice 6.3: The school engages in effective planning and reciprocal communication with family stakeholders so that student strength and needs are identified and used to augment learning.			
	Highly Effective	a) The school has a comprehensive plan to use multip families regular opportunities for purposeful, strategissues and concerns. b) The school staff has a shared commitment to use oparents and stakeholders (i.e., translating all docume variety of ways) to ensure that they clearly understar progress, achievement, and needs. c) The school staff regularly monitors the effectivene family feedback in all languages concerning student a multiple interactive communication tools and makes	cole, interactive communication tools to provide ic, and authentic dialogue about school and student consistently the plan for communicating with ents in multiple languages and communicating in and the school's priorities concerning student ass of their communication to and solicitations of achievement, needs, issues, and concerns using
	Effective	a) The school staff has a plan to use multiple tools to communicate with families about school and student issues and concerns. b) The school staff uses a plan to communicate with parents (i.e., translating all documents in multiple languages and communicating in a variety of ways) concerning student progress, achievement, and needs. c) The school staff monitors the effectiveness of its communication in all languages and responds to family feedback concerning issues and concerns.	
	Developing	a) The school is creating a plan for communicating wi concerns in pertinent languages, or the school comm issues and concerns using the most prevalent language b) The school staff is developing a mechanism for ser languages, or the school staff translates select docum c) The school is beginning to have conversations about communicating with parents.	unicates with families about school and student ges. Iding documents to families in their native nents into languages they identify as prevalent.
	Ineffective	a) The school communicates with families about school and student issues and concerns without considering translation needs. b) The school staff does not send translations of documents to families. c) The school does not reflect on its strategies for communicating with parents.	
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		Classroom Observations – # Visited: Interviews with Students – #: Interviews with Support Staff – #: Interviews with Teachers – #: Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: Other:	Documents Reviewed: Individual Parent meeting on students/written parent communication Parent newsletters/School calendar Involvement of councilor and social worker School website
If the SOP rating is Effective , Developing or Ineffective , please provide a response in the areas below.			sponse in the areas below.
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.			
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.			
Describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the actions in this area.			

Rating		The school community partners with families and co and social and emotional developmental health) to so	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Highly Effective	a) The school leader, in collaboration with pertinent school staff and community agency partners, has developed a plan to ensure that all staff members understand how to create and sustain high levels of family engagement by teaching all parents ways to support student learning and growth. b) After consulting teachers, student support professionals, and representative parents, the school leader provides professional development to all school staff on how to actively seek and sustain partnerships with families and community organizations that are linked to the school's plan for engaging parents to support student success.		
	Effective	a) The school leader, in collaboration with pertinent school staff and community agency partners, has developed a plan to ensure that classroom teachers and guidance staff understand how to create and sustain family engagement by teaching a group of parents, representative of student demographics, ways to support student learning and growth. b) The school provides professional development to school staff on how to actively seek and sustain partnerships with families and community organizations that are linked to the school's plan for engaging parents to support student success.		
	Developing	 a) The school leader is in the process of developing a plan to teach parents ways to support student learning and growth. b) The school is in the process of implementing a plan to provide professional development to school staff on how to develop partnerships with families and/or the community, or the staff is not fully implementing the strategies in the school's plan. 		
	Ineffective	 a) The school leader is not working on a plan to teach parents ways to support student learning and growth. b) The school does not provide professional development for staff concerning developing partnerships with families and/or the community. 		
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		Classroom Observations – # Visited: Interviews with Students – #: Interviews with Support Staff – #: Interviews with Teachers – #: Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: Other:	Documents Reviewed: Partner with most country agencies Partner with BOCES Partner with cooperative extension	
		eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re	·	
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		Research and develop more opportunities for school and community to work together to provide support and training to all stakeholders		
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		Increased involvement between school personal and outside agencies		
Describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the actions in this area.		Strategies to engage community		

	Statement of Practice 6.5: The school shares data in a way that promotes dialogue among parents, students, and school community				
Rating	members centered on stud	dent learning and success and encourages and empowers families to understand and use data to advocate			
	for appropriate support services for their children.				
\boxtimes	Highly Effective	a) School leaders, data specialists, student support professionals, program coordinators, and community agencies share data and integrate data systems in order to identify family needs and target appropriate support strategies. b) The entire school community ensures that student data is shared in a way that families can understand a child's learning needs and successes, proactively advocate for their children, and partner with the school to provide appropriate student support.			
	Effective	a) School leaders, data specialists, student support professionals, and program coordinators use data to identify family needs and target strategies to address them. b) The school community ensures that student data is shared in a way in which families can understand student learning needs and successes and are encouraged to advocate for student support.			
	Developing	 a) The school staff recognizes that there is a need to share and integrate data systems to identify family needs, and a plan is being developed to do so, or the school staff uses data but is not working with the school's partnerships to share and respond to data pertaining to family needs. b) The school community inconsistently shares data regarding student learning needs and success that families can access and understand. 			
	Ineffective	a) There is no evidence that school leaders, data specialists, student support professionals, and program coordinators have prioritized or recognized the need to share and integrate data systems. b) The school community does not share data with parents in ways they can understand.			
Please indicate the evidence used to determine the rating. Check all that apply.		☐ Classroom Observations – # Visited: ☐ Interviews with Students – #: ☐ Interviews with Support Staff – #: ☐ Interviews with Teachers – #: ☐ Interviews with Parents/Guardians – #: ☐ Other:	Documents Reviewed: Newsletter/Results First Teams School Tool/AIS Letters Teacher Websites STAR Reports/Teacher Parent Conference		
If the SC	OP rating is Effective , De	eveloping or Ineffective, please provide a re	sponse in the areas below.		
Actions in this area to be taken to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.		7			
Describe the district resources to be used to implement the actions in this area to improve the identified subgroup(s) student performance levels.					
Describe the professional development activities planned to support the implementation of the actions in this area.					

Describe the process used to develop this plan pursuant to CR100.11.
This plan was developed using a team approach which included input from all teachers in the building, parents, administrators, and a Special Education School Improvement Specialist from the RSE-TASC who was implementing the Quality Improvement Process in the school. Data was collected using classroom observations, and a survey that went to staff and parents. The building and district administrators collected documents to support each of the tenets. A team then met to review the data and documents and to make ratings on the self-review protocol. This information was then shared with teachers, parents and staff of the building, the district administrative team, and ultimately the Board of Education. Once the approval was received from the Superintendent and the Board of Education, the plan was placed on the district website as required by NYSED.

Provide any additional information that is relevant to the Local Assistance Plan but is not addressed in the tenets
and/or SOPs.
This district had already begun the Quality Improvement Process with the RSE-TASC during the 2013-2014 school year, and was focusing on using data effectively to improve outcomes for students with disabilities. Compliance issues are being addressed after a focused review by SEQA and embedded support is being offered. The district has also added a Director of Curriculum to support instruction. The elementary building has been reconfigured to include 6 th grade and a pre-kindergarten program. Staff from GV Boces is also working with teachers on instructional coaching to improve tier one instruction. The district has just completed a three year commitment with "Results First", which is a data driven action team to develop a plan to improve outcomes. The district has also made a commitment to the use of technology to enhance instruction, student engagement, assessment, and progress monitoring. The district is close to providing one to one devices for student use in the classroom.